### Voting Item:

**Type**: Issue Description

TGB WG5 CMG-03 primary and alternate representation

**Motion**: Approve Tara and Cindy as primary and alternate representatives.

**Vote**

- **Motion seconded?**: None
- **Discussion Summary**: None
- **Result**: Motion passes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Motion Made by</th>
<th>2nd By</th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kathy</td>
<td>Barbara</td>
<td>All attendees</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Result**: Motion passes

---

**Proprietary**

Revised 01/2021
**Tuesday, 1/26/2021**
**Key Discussion Items: Review 7030 Examples**

**Discussion**

- Cindy welcomed the team and reviewed the agenda for the week. Will be reviewing 276/277 and 277CA examples mostly. We will also be reviewing BARS on Thursday, 1/28/2021 if time allows.
  - Explained some of the next steps and putting together a template for the change logs and procedures on how to use it. No ETA for template completion.
  - Cindy explained iMeet and how it works. The examples we will review are out on TGB/WG5:
    - Work Product Development/7030/examples
    - There are 3 276/277 examples and 5 277CA examples. We will start with the 276/277 examples.

  - “The Payer Claim Control Number Search and Response – Claim Found” is redundant with “Claim-Level Request and Response – Insured” since it already contains the Payer Claim Control Number search option.
  - Reviewed the business scenario “The Payer Claim Control Number Search and Response – Claim Not Found. Secondary search results”. Cindy reviewed the 7030 search criteria section and reviewed the example in detail.
    - Cindy showed how to access Glass to review the 7030 TR3s.
  - Reviewed the business scenario Predetermination (i.e., the status request for a medical predetermination/estimation claim that was sent in batch mode). Cindy reviewed the 7030 search criteria section and reviewed the example in detail.
    - Discussed having the WG5 examples align with the 837 examples to help tell the story. Cindy will reach out to TGB/WG2 co-chairs regarding this.
  - Question: How will these examples be updated for 8010? Pam G indicated it would just be minimal changes since nothing changed in the transactions – just the version number (e.g., 007030X329 will become 008010X329).
  - Need new representatives for CMG03 (Codes Committee) – primary and alternate. Tara Rose volunteered as primary representative and Cindy Monarch volunteered as alternate. No conflict with Tara’s X12N representation. Motion was made (refer to voting item). Motion passed unanimously.

**Decisions**

- Reviewed and approved two of the remaining 276/277 examples.
- CMG03 primary and alternate representatives.

**Thursday, 1/28/2021**
**Key Discussion Items: Continued review of 7030 examples**

**Discussion**

Cindy welcomed the team back, and we will be ending the call at 2 pm instead of 2:30 due to several conflicts. We will begin by looking at the last of three 276/277 examples, then will move on to the five 277CA examples. Cindy used the Glass application to review the 7030 guides.

- Scenario - Request and Response on a status where the subscriber was paid directly instead of the provider. F4:6 response expected along with payment amount of zero and adjudication date. Reviewed and validated all segments in the 276 and 277 are correct.

On to the 277CA. This is not a mandated transaction at this time, but hoping this will happen as part of the 7030/8010 implementation:

- Payer Response – Accepted file – Claims rejected and accepted including claim and service level. Cindy will make updates to some of the dates to move them further out further since one of them is for next
| Week! Reviewed and validated all segments in the 277CA; a couple of typos were noted, and Cindy updated and will upload this example.
| We will work on the remaining 277CA examples during our interim meetings.
| Can we continue to meet on the 2nd and 4th Tuesday of the month, or should we move to monthly? Suggestion is that we keep the schedule as is and if we need to, we can cancel.

Pam thanked Cindy for being a convener and reminded the group that there is still an open position for a co-chair. If anyone is interested, let Pam know and she will ensure that person is added to the electronic election ballot. If Cindy continues to act as convener, she will set-up the new interim meeting schedule calls but will follow-up offline with Pam to discuss further.