

# ASC X12J TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT SUBCOMMITTEE

## Standing Meeting Minutes

**JANUARY 25, 2-3:30 PM EST**

**Attendance—20 people, 13 constituents**

**JANUARY 27, 2-3:30 PM EST**

**Attendance—19 people, 13 constituents**

**FEBRUARY 1, 12-1:30 PM EST**

**Attendance—18 people, 12 constituents**

Support: Steve & Kent

### 1. Monday, January 25

- 1.1. Call to Order
- 1.2. Opening Remarks
- 1.3. Administrative Issues
  - 1.3.1. Membership Review
  - 1.3.2. Agenda was approved unanimously.
  - 1.3.3. Max-Gerd Retzlaff will be retiring from X12 after this meeting. His new company is not an X12 member. The Subcommittee thanked him for all of his contributions.
- 1.4. Reviewed CRM [Batch 106](#)
  - 1.4.1. Motion to approve 106-25 as modified was approved unanimously.
  - 1.4.2. Motion to approve 106-28 as submitted was approved unanimously.
  - 1.4.3. Motion to approve 106-32 as modified was approved unanimously.
  - 1.4.4. Motion to refer 106-37 to X12M was approved unanimously.
  - 1.4.5. Motion to approve as submitted the following CMRs was approved unanimously: 106-11, 106-12, 106-13, 106-14, 106-15, 106-16, 106-17, 106-18, 106-19, 106-20, 106-21, 106-26, 106-27, 106-29, 106-30, 106-31, 106-33, 106-34, 106-35, 106-36
- 1.5. Reviewed [new MRs](#) and discussed the new process. The following concerns were raised and will be brought by the Chair to the Steering Committee:
  - 1.5.1. How do subcommittees validate that an MR change was correctly entered in the database?
  - 1.5.2. A revised ASC02 hasn't been distributed.
  - 1.5.3. Reps need to see the transaction set context for an MR change.
  - 1.5.4. It's hard for TAS reps to know if they will have interest in a new MR if the impact

assessment isn't presented in the Express Interest step.

1.5.5. Reps would like some training on the new process.

1.6. Reviewed RFIs

1.6.1. Motion to approve RFI [2435](#) was approved unanimously.

1.6.2. Motion to approve RFI [2441](#) as modified was approved unanimously.

1.6.3. Motion to approve RFI [2446](#) as modified was approved unanimously.

1.7. Reviewed Report Backs

1.7.1. X12I. MRs approved: 78, 79, 80, 117, 120

Meeting recessed at 3:30 p.m. EST

## 2. Wednesday, January 27

2.1. Reviewed Report Backs

2.1.1. X12F. MRs approved: 117, 120

2.1.2. X12M

2.1.2.1. MR 120 approved

2.1.2.2. MR 002120 deferred. This may have been withdrawn. Staff will check with Paul Jensen at [pjensen@lmi.org](mailto:pjensen@lmi.org). If it's not withdrawn, then staff will convert it to one of the new numbers.

2.1.2.3. MR 034220 deferred. Staff will convert this MR to one of the new numbers.

2.1.3. X12I. MR 034220 deferred.

Meeting recessed at 2:15 p.m. EST

## 3. Monday, February 1

3.1. Reviewed Report Backs

3.1.1. X12N.

3.1.1.1. MRs approved: 1, 2, 3, 12, 13, 15, 87, 107, 108, 117, 119, 123, 124, 125

3.1.1.2. Withdrew approval for MR 6

3.2. Ballot Recommendations

3.2.1. Motion to recommend MR 117 was approved unanimously. However, after the meeting X12M identified a secretarial mistake in that the subcommittee had not yet approved MR 117; therefore, that MR was not recommended to PRB for ballot.

3.2.2. Motion to recommend MRs 78 and 80 to PRB for ballot was approved unanimously.

3.3. MR process discussion. The Steering Committee has asked each subcommittee to document the issues it has with the new process and offer suggestions. These should be submitted to the Chair by March 8<sup>th</sup> and he will present them to the Steering Committee.

Meeting adjourned at 12:30 p.m. EST