

X12J TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT SUBCOMMITTEE

Interim Meeting Minutes

AUGUST 2, 1:30-3:30 PM EDT

Attendance—12 people, 10 constituents

AUGUST 4, 1:30-2:30 PM EDT

Attendance—12 people, 11 constituents

Support: Kent

1. Monday, August 2

- 1.1. Call to Order
- 1.2. Opening Remarks
- 1.3. Administrative Issues
 - 1.3.1. Membership Review
 - 1.3.2. Agenda was approved unanimously
 - 1.3.3. The Tuesday, August 3 session was cancelled.
- 1.4. CRM Batch 109 had no requests
- 1.5. 2021-07-03 ASC Ballot: Maintenance Request Package preliminary results
 - 1.5.1. Because of impact assessment errors in the ASC ballot, the ballot motions for the following MRs will be invalidated and the MRs will be re-balloted: 12, 15, 87, 108, 119, 123, 124, and 125.
 - 1.5.2. Because of impact assessment errors in an earlier X12N subcommittee ballot, the ASC ballot motions for the following MRs will be invalidated and the MRs will be referred back to X12N: 19, 21, 24, 50, 112, and 114.
- 1.6. Reviewed [MR Status Overview](#)
 - 1.6.1. No new MRs since the Standing Meeting.
 - 1.6.2. MR 106 has been changed to impact the EDI Standard as well as the X326 TR3. No other subcommittees expressed interest.
 - 1.6.3. Work Group-Approved MRs with interested subcommittees:
 - 1.6.3.1. MR 129: X12I is deferred because they were waiting for output.
 - 1.6.3.2. MR 145: X12N is deferred and will address this at the Fall Standing Meeting.
- 1.7. Tom Mort presented a new X12N TR3, 008020X305 838 Provider Enrollment for EDI Services (MR 39). Motion to recommend it to PRB for ballot was approved unanimously.
- 1.8. No Report Backs

Meeting recessed at 1:45 p.m. EDT

2. Wednesday, August 4

2.1. Reviewed [2021-07-03 ASC Ballot: Maintenance Request Package Comments](#).

2.1.1. Ballot 4: MR 3:

2.1.1.1. Approval comment: x346 Revision instructions - Add code 5 to 2200 DSB01

2.1.1.2. Approved response: Thank you for your comment. Code 5 will be available in the next publications.

2.1.2. Ballot 20: MR 27:

2.1.2.1. Approval comment: questioning removal of Patient estimated amount due - is there a similar field elsewhere with the new regs about pricing transparency?

2.1.2.2. Approved response: Thank you for your comment. These post-adjudicated claim guides are being aligned with the billing claim guides.

2.1.3. Ballot 28: MR 58:

2.1.3.1. Approval comment: confusing on x12 standard per Appendix B that limits to 50 and data element allows 80.

2.1.3.2. Approved response: Thank you for your comment. The EDI standard limits the data element max length to 80. Within the implementation guide we are reducing the max length to 50 for industry use.

2.1.4. Ballot 36: MR 110:

2.1.4.1. Disapproval comment: Modifications 7, 8, and 9 do not appear justified by this request.

2.1.4.2. Approved response: Thank you for your comment. We will take this back to the developing subcommittee for further review.

2.1.4.3. Ballot has been invalidated and the MR will be referred back to X12N.

2.1.5. Ballot 51: MR 136:

2.1.5.1. Approval comment: Why was the 2010F request not included?

2.1.5.2. Approved response: Thank you for your comment. We will notify the developing subcommittee.

2.1.6. Report Backs

2.1.6.1. X12I withdrew interest from MR 129.

2.2. Ballot Recommendations.

2.2.1. Motion to recommend MR 129 for ballot to PRB was approved unanimously.

2.2.2. Motion to recommend the following X12N MRs for ballot to PRB was approved unanimously: 34, 36, 37, 38, 47, 48, 49, 56, 60, 61, 64, 86, 106.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 p.m. EDT