

Transportation Subcommittee, X12I
Full Subcommittee Interim Meeting
Meeting Minutes
January 19, 2023

1. Doug Anderson (X12I Chair) opened the meeting at 10:05 central time. There were 11 members in attendance. Everyone introduced themselves and we welcomed Mary Baker from CBP. She is sitting in for Adi Abel who is retiring this month.
2. The minutes of the previous meeting, September 20, 2022, were approved as written.
3. Transportation reviewed 13 MR's. Dana Morton (FedEx) led the discussion. Here are the results of that review.
 - a. MR 105 waiting for output
 - b. MR 176 waiting for output
 - c. MR 187 waiting for output, tentatively approved pending approval by X12N
 - d. MR 189 waiting for output
 - e. MR 204 approved previously, place marker to review after publication
 - f. MR 233 waiting for output
 - g. MR 279 waiting for output
 - h. MR 281 waiting for output
 - i. MR 289 waiting for output
 - j. MR 290 approved assuming no changes from 1/14/2023 MR report
 - k. MR 323 waiting for output
 - l. MR 324 approved
 - m. MR 330 waiting for output

There was a long discussion about the MR process, concerns about the status categories, concerns about how MR's are being applied, concerns about how difficult it is to review and monitor, and the lack of visibility.

The current MR process does not work well. The list of in process MR's is difficult to monitor and review. Transportation and TAS have provided specific feedback, however improvements are slow.

Some MR's that were approved in 2021, MR 106 for example, still shows a status of Approved by the ASC, yet two versions of the standard have been published.

Some MR's that were approved in 2022, MR 204 for example, still shows a status of Approved by the ASC, yet a new version of the standard has been published. MR 204 has been applied to the standard.

Some MR's that were approved in 2022, MR 397 for example, now has the status of MR Applied.

What does status Assemble Initial Impact Assessment mean now that X12 staff is no longer performing that function? It looks to us like that status could be eliminated

Members expressed their continued frustration with the difficulty in reviewing the MR's. In order to review an MR you need to have the MR database open and Glass open. In the past everything was in one place. This will be a bigger issue now that the process has changed to SC "interest" after the developing SC completes their work. This could cause a delay in approval for a meeting cycle.

There is no way to figure out if something has changed from what was originally in the Business case, Suggested change, or Impact Assessment. There is no history of all the changes.

There is no way to look at the MR list, notice that the update date at the top has changed, to figure out what has changed.

4. The group discussed the in-person meetings only. It was agreed that this requirement disenfranchised many members of Transportation who could not get approval to attend an in-person meeting. That is why we are not holding a in-person meeting and holding this interim meeting instead. We strongly suggest that hybrid meetings be reinstated for the meeting in Cincinnati. Members of the group will express their displeasure at the listening session in Westminster.
5. Doug Anderson (Kleinschmidt) noted that the STC had not met yet and was scheduled to meet later that afternoon. The meeting was subsequently cancelled by Gary.
6. Transportation discussed the next meeting, scheduled for June. It was decided to meet on Monday June 5th at 10:00 central time. It will be a 100% virtual meeting.
7. One item of old business concerning blockchain. It looks like BITA will shut down due to lack of membership. They are looking at the possibility of combining with the Global Blockchain Business Council.
8. The meeting was adjourned at 11:30.