

X12C COMMUNICATIONS AND CONTROLS SUBCOMMITTEE

Interim Meeting Minutes

SEPTEMBER 9, 12 noon – 1 pm EDT

Attendance—8 people, 6 constituents

1. Thursday, September 9

1.1. Administration

1.1.1. None

1.2. Pending

1.2.1. None

1.3. RFI Database

1.3.1. [RFI 2502](#) “Clarification required on the difference between IK4-03 error code "1" and "I9" in 999 Implementation acknowledgements.”

1.3.1.1. Unanimously approved the following response:

Code value "1" refers to the EDI Standard and is sent when a mandatory data element is not present in a segment.

The code values that begin with an "I" indicate the error is reported against the instructions in an implementation guide. Code value "I9" indicates that an element is missing that became required based on a situational rule or other content in the segment. For example, many NM104 elements contain a situational rule stating that NM104 is required if NM102=1. Consequently, if NM102 =1 and NM104 is empty, code value "I9" in IK4-03 is the appropriate response.

1.3.1.2. The Chair will send this draft response to X12N.

1.4. Active

1.4.1. Discussed whether it's always the case that the same segment qualifier code value should not be used more than once in a loop and if so, whether that should be specified in *Compliance in X12* or some other document. There are some business scenarios in which sending the same segment qualifier more than once in a loop would make sense, though the trading partner's system most likely wouldn't interpret them correctly. The constituents will think about other permutations of this issue and send thoughts to the Chair in preparation for a further discussion at the Standing Meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 12:30 AM EDT