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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chair Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Term End Date</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pam Grosze</td>
<td>PNC Bank</td>
<td>Summer 2021</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pamela.grosze@pnc.com">pamela.grosze@pnc.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Wijtyk</td>
<td>Cognizant</td>
<td>Winter 2020</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Patricia.wijtyk@cognizant.com">Patricia.wijtyk@cognizant.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meg Kutz</td>
<td>Anthem</td>
<td>Fall 2020</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Margaret.kutz@anthem.com">Margaret.kutz@anthem.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secretary Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Term End Date</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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<td>Medical Mutual</td>
<td>Appointed (Thru Fall 2021)</td>
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</table>

**Quorum Requirement Statement**

*This group enforces quorum requirements for group voting items.*

*This group does not enforce quorum requirements for group voting items.*  

X

**Scheduled Meetings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Meeting</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location/Conference Call</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Agenda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Current Meeting       | Sept. 15-19, 2019 | Westin Convention Center  
100 Penn Avenue  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
412-281-3700 | Co-chairs | Posted to iMeet |
| Next Standing Meeting | Jan. 26-30, 2020 | Hilton Portland & Executive Tower  
921 SW 6th Ave.  
Portland, OR 97204  
(503) 226-1611 | Co-chairs |                   |
<p>| Interim Meeting       | Every Wed       | 2:00 Ct / 3:00 ET                  | Co-chairs |                   |
| Management Meeting    |                 |                                     |         |                     |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Co-chair</th>
<th>Term End</th>
<th>Election Date: Click or tap to enter a date.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Election</td>
<td>Candidate(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nomination</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote</td>
<td>Motion Made by</td>
<td>2nd By</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election</td>
<td>Motion Made by</td>
<td>2nd By</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Voting Item: Vote X322 7030 forward for publication pending changes to the TR3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Issue Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Motion to move the 835 forward, contingent upon the outstanding BRTS work and updating and applying to OnlyConnect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Motion Made by</th>
<th>2nd By</th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Lynam</td>
<td>Patricia Wijtyk</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion
A question was posed on the floor regarding is there anything from the 837, or do we have a concern that through their process that they are going to change how the COB is submitted or the provider, and how they support it. The response was no because we gave them everything prior and they accepted our information.

### Result
Motion moved forward by a show of hands

### Key Discussion Items

**Discussion**

- Reviewed RFI Responses by Transaction
  - Group consensus on drafted recommendation responses
    - RFI 2325: Reversal and Correction Method (32-X12N/TGC/WG2 RFI)
    - RFI 2362: 835 dual coverage same plan
    - RFI 2365: CLP02
    - RFI 2370: PLB0302 usage CLP01 and CLP07
    - RFI 2371: 835 co-insurance
    - RFI 2372: Discrepancy in 835 Payer ID
    - RFI 2373: Network Leasing Segment 835

**Decisions**

- RFI 2370: Group agreed with additional clarification of Section 1.10.2.14
- RFI 2372: Unable to draft a response, a question was sent back to sender to clarify payer id
- RFI 2373: Pulled our wording from 2166 to be consistent with our communication of a “workaround”
Drafted recommendation responses to RFI Responses by Transaction can be found in document ‘835 RFI Responses Fall standing 2019’

Discussion

Examples
- Group consensus on updates and changes made
  - Paying additional line

Decisions

Updates made to the Examples can be found in document ‘Feq code 7 Void reissue reversal correction rfi2325 091719’

PLB Codes in external list & On Hold
- We are almost finished with the PLB codes. Most of the code values live out on X12- x12.org
- PLB codes on hold
  - MR 33: C5- Tentative Adjustment
    - Extended Description: This is a tentative adjustment
    - Next Steps: Will post again to see if anyone has any feedback
  - MR 58: RE- Return on Equity
    - Next Steps:
      - Will post again to see if anyone has any feedback
      - Mohammad ‘Mo’ from CMS will research and bring back to the group if CMS uses the code and if it has a crosswalk to something else on the backend
  - MR# 66: IR (LE is now Internal Revenue Service 1099 withholding amount)
    - New code wanted to add on 6020
    - New code to add for Levy and LE (LE is now Internal Revenue Service non 1099 withholding amount)
      - C7 withholding
        - We need to move forward using C7. It could be any code that anyone wants it to be, but as the description we want “non internal revenue service third party withholding”
        - Extending description: To define third party withholding for Child Support, Alimony, Secondary Corporation, Change of Ownership and Garnishment
    - We don’t have any codes that we can think of that addresses garnishment. We can have an extended description or a third code that has nothing to do with IRS
    - Next Steps: Will post again to see if anyone has any feedback

Decisions

Updates and changes to PLB codes on hold can be found in document ‘PLBs to follow-up selected for 0916’

Discussion

PLB – TR1
- How we use the PLB In the Frontmatter
- Clarification on the difference between the TR’s; TR1- educational paper (tutorial), TR2- reference guide (reference Model), TR4 is clarification like a ‘white paper’
- We should develop educational information; it is worth us creating this knowledge for this industry

Decisions

Decision made to create a TR1 for PLB. Drafted chart for PLB- TR1; specs; Code, Description, Notes, Business Use, Reference ID Usage

Discussion

Revised 09/2019
### CARC/RARC

- **Insurance Industry Specific Remark Codes**
  - Reviewed requested descriptions and comments on report list of the items that will be placed in the IISRC list
  - We have added the ability to have an additional remark code list where codes are specific to industry, or specific needs have not been addressed because the needs are too specific. Most documented are property and casualty.
  - What IISRC Remark Codes are needed (x12_Eco_973industryspecificremark_2019_08_15.xlsx)
  - The CORE Operating rule would need to be changed to accommodate the new code list

### Decisions

N/A

### Discussion

**CORE Market Based Review**

- Is now open but we don’t have anything that needs to be changed
- If there are any persons here who have any changes that are needed, please bring them to the workgroup and the workgroup will support you
- Split by Group Code
  - **CARC 4-12**
    - CARC 4 is in business scenario 2. CARC 5-12 is in business scenario 3. CARC 4 language “required modifier is missing” will be removed. When the language is removed, we can have CARC 4 moved to business scenario 3
  - **CARC 282**
    - Initial intentions were to have it moved in business scenario 3,
    - Will submit it to be added to business scenario 3
  - **N818-N821**
    - Do they have what they need for combinations
    - How are these being added and what is the best consistency practice

### Decisions

CARC 4: Have it moved to business scenario 3 when the language “required modifier is missing” is removed
CARC 282: Have it added to business scenario 3

### Discussion

**Updates to the CARC/RARC TR2**

- Our TR2 is the master file, not just the codes that are in the code combination
- Go through CARCs and RARCs that have add/change since Aug 2013
- Discussed most effective way to accomplish the task

### Decisions

CARC/RARC TR2- Broke into sub workgroups and created initial drafts for updates to the CARC/RARC TR2

- Pulled all changes and additions equal to and greater than 6/1/2013 from the Code Combination, CARCs, and RARCs
- Removed deactivated codes

### Discussion

**Impact list of changes from 5010 to 7030**

- What are the major things that will have the biggest impact with 7030
- Question concerning Enumerated Health Care Policy question
  - 5010 guide said that once you put it out there and it is not secured you must put it out there, in 7030 it says that it will be required
- Have organizations mapped their enumerated health care policy to their denials? What is everyone doing regarding the upcoming requirement?
- Group Responses:
  - Company does not put in a line identification number
  - Company has not discussed this yet

**Decisions**

Started draft chart of major things that will have the biggest impact with 7030. Please see document ‘X322 835’ for details

---

**Informational Forum or Joint Meeting Notes:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Date: Click or tap to enter a date.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>