

ASC X12J TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT SUBCOMMITTEE

Standing Meeting Minutes

JUNE 7, 2-3:30 PM EDT

Attendance—19 people, 12 constituents

JUNE 9, 2-3:30 PM EDT

Attendance—16 people, 11 constituents

JUNE 14, 2-3:30 PM EDT

Attendance—16 people, 12 constituents

Support: Kent

1. Monday, June 7

1.1. Call to Order

1.1.1. The Vice-chair presided in the Chair's absence.

1.2. Opening Remarks

1.3. Administrative Issues

1.3.1. Membership Review

1.3.2. Agenda was approved as modified unanimously.

1.4. Reviewed CRM [Batch 108](#)

1.4.1. Motion to approve 108-43, 108-44, and 108-46 as submitted was approved unanimously.

1.5. Review of RFI 2376 was tabled until Wednesday, 6/9.

1.6. Reviewed [MR Status Overview](#)

1.6.1. New MRs since the Interim Meeting: 157, 158. Both are in "Assemble Impact Assessment" status.

1.6.2. Work Group-Approved MRs with interested subcommittees: MR 145 [Interested groups X12I, X12M, X12N]

1.6.3. mr.x12.org now includes modification dates for MR and impact assessment edits.

1.7. Reviewed Report Back

1.7.1. X12I approved MR 0345220 "Increase the maximum length of DE 87 to 80 characters."

1.7.2. Motion to recommend MR 0345220 for ballot to PRB was unanimously approved.

1.7.3. Motion to recommend the following X12N-only MRs for ballot to PRB was unanimously approved: 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 39, 50, 58, 110, 111, 112, 114.

1.7.4. X12I reported:

1.7.4.1. MR 034220--approved

- 1.7.4.2. MR 45—withdraws interest.
- 1.7.4.3. MR 46—withdraws interest
- 1.7.4.4. MR 77—approved
- 1.7.4.5. MR 145—approved
- 1.7.5. Motion to recommend MR 77 for ballot to PRB was unanimously approved.
- 1.7.6. X12M reported:
 - 1.7.6.1. CMR 106-37—withdrawn
 - 1.7.6.2. MR 6—approved
- 1.7.7. X12N reported: MR 120—approved
- 1.7.8. Motion to recommend MR 120 for ballot to PRB was unanimously approved.
- 1.8. X12C reported that it has withdrawn MR 008318 “Modify the name and definition of data element 447” and that it will not proceed with a composite loop identifier. X12C will create an MR for the BNF changes it has identified for 12.6 Application Control Structure. Staff will identify any non-unique loop IDs and will make recommendations to X12J about correcting them.

Meeting recessed at 2:45 p.m. EDT

2. Wednesday, June 9

- 2.1. Reviewed RFI 2376. Staff will research the history of the RFI and determine why it wasn’t published.
- 2.2. Reviewed Report Backs
 - 2.2.1. The X12N representative reported that as a new TR3, MR 39 should not have been recommended for ballot to PRB at the previous meeting. A motion to rescind the ballot recommendation for MR 39 was approved unanimously. The MR 39 X305 838 implementation guide will be reviewed during the subcommittee’s August meeting.

Meeting recessed at 2:30 p.m. EDT

3. Monday, June 14

- 3.1. Administrative Issues
 - 3.1.1. The subcommittee would like staff to look into the fact that iMeet invitations for recurring meetings don’t populate in user calendars as recurring appointments.
 - 3.1.2. Verna Schultz didn’t receive the link to the subcommittee meetings that she expected. Staff will investigate this.
 - 3.1.3. People with concerns about the Portland location for the Winter Standing Meeting should send them to Gary Beatty. Staff is in contact with the Portland Chamber of Commerce.
- 3.2. Reviewed Report Backs
 - 3.2.1. X12N
 - 3.2.1.1. MRs currently in subcommittee ballot: 20, 22, 31, 32, 67, 129, 130, 131, 133, 134, 135, 136, 150. X12I has interest in MR 129.

3.2.2. X12I approved MR 72.

3.2.3. X12M approved MR 145.

3.3. Ballot Recommendations

3.3.1. Motion to recommend the following X12N MRs for ballot to PRB on the condition that they are approved in the X12N subcommittee ballot was approved unanimously: 20, 22, 31, 32, 67, 130, 131, 133, 134, 135, 136, 150.

3.3.2. Motion to recommend MR 72 for ballot to PRB was approved unanimously.

3.4. RFI 2376 discussion

3.4.1. Staff reported on this RFI's history. The RFI response was approved by X12J during the April 2020 Interim Meeting, but because of the ransomware attack it wasn't published. Staff were unable to contact the submitter. Later in the year, X12N noticed it was still open and began a further discussion about it.

3.4.2. Joel Prater noted that X12N management did want to see changes in the response in order to show that some of the actions mentioned had already been taken.

3.4.3. Motion to revise the response as follows was approved, with the Vice-chair abstaining:

3.4.3.1. We agree with your interpretation of design rule 2.3.8 as previously written for section 2.3.1 in 005010X231A1, however a currently unstated exception to this rule is that trailer segments used to close the transaction, logical area, loop or nested loop must be the final component of that transaction, logical area, loop or nested loop. For section 2.3.1 in 005010X231A1 the IK5 is the trailer segment used to close loop ID 2110 and the AK9 is the trailer used to close the logical area of the functional group in the referenced example 2.8 in X12.61, it reflects an EDI 849. The logical area of table 2 within the EDI 849 begins with the CON loop and ends with the SSS segment within the PAD loop. The segments implied between the SSS and SE segments are found in the logical area of table 3 and as such do not violate design rule 2.3.8. For clarity, we have updated design rule 2.3.8 to more clearly state that the exception to this rule are trailer segments used to close the transactions, logical area, loop, or nested loop.

Meeting adjourned at 3 p.m. EST