

X12J TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT SUBCOMMITTEE

Standing Meeting Minutes

JANUARY 23, 2-3:30 p.m. MST

Attendance—13 people, 9 constituents

JANUARY 25, 2-3:30 p.m. MST

Attendance—15 people, 10 constituents

JANUARY 30, 12-1:30 p.m. MST

Attendance—11 people, 9 constituents

Support: Kent

1. Monday, January 23

- 1.1. Call to Order
- 1.2. Opening Remarks
- 1.3. Administrative Issues
 - 1.3.1. Constituent review
 - 1.3.2. Agenda was approved as modified.
 - 1.3.3. Staff was directed to add dates and times to the X12J iMeet meeting titles.
- 1.4. CMR Batch 118
 - 1.4.1. Motion to approve CMR 118-98 as submitted passed unanimously.
- 1.5. X12J MRs in Development
 - 1.5.1. Motion to approve the MR 324 “DE 1403: Change Max Length to 2” impact assessment was approved unanimously.
- 1.6. MR Technical Review
 - 1.6.1. Motion to recommend MR 324 to PRB for ballot was approved unanimously.
 - 1.6.2. Motion to recommend MR 290 “X12.5: Clarify element and package level encoding” to PRB for ballot was approved unanimously.
- 1.7. Report Backs: none

Meeting recessed at 2:15 p.m. MST

2. Wednesday, January 25

- 2.1. Constituent review
- 2.2. Review Report Back: none

2.3. 2022-09-30 ASC Ballot Comment Responses noted below were approved unanimously.

<p>Ballot 1: MR 116</p> <p>834 - Require First Name in 2100I Loops</p>	
<p>In accordance with SD2, the work proceeds to PRB for approval to publish when disapprovals represent less than 10% of the votes, excluding abstentions.</p>	
<p>APPROVED</p>	
Approval comments	
	<p>Comment 1: The business case references loop 2100E, but it is not included in the change list. Will there be a second MR?</p>
	<p>Response 1: No, 2100E Member school reference does not require a first name. The reference to Custodial Parent (2100F) updating NM104 to situational was already modified in the current version (008020).</p>
	<p>Comment 2: I agree with making the data element situational; however, if it cannot be corrected prior to publication, an additional MR should be opened to make the situational rule consistent with the other data elements in the segment (i.e., Required if supplied by enrollee. If not required by this implementation guide, do not send.)</p>
	<p>Response 2: Thank you for the suggestion.</p>
Disapproval comments	
	<p>Comment 3: The title needs to be corrected/changed. It says "Require First Name in 2100I Loops" but the change is to make First Name situational.</p>
	<p>Response 3: Thank you for the suggestion. Title has been updated.</p>
	<p>Comment 4: Horizon BCBSNJ for our business needs requires the first name to be present to enroll.</p>
	<p>Response 4: Each Trading partner's implementation can have additional restrictions based on their business requirements.</p>

Ballot 2: MR 208	
X323: Make Loop 2010AD NM1 segment note 2 part of the situational rule	
In accordance with SD2, the work proceeds to PRB for approval to publish when disapprovals represent less than 10% of the votes, excluding abstentions.	
APPROVED	
Approval comments	
	Comment 1: Lines (Reference Numbers) 5 and 6 are not necessary as the numbering is controlled by the publisher. Unless rearranging TR3 Notes, the numbers are not required in the instructions.
	Response 1: The TR3 notes will be updated per the MR
Disapproval comments	
	Comment 2: The Suggested Change is not grammatically correct and should be re-worded to describe the change appropriately.
	Response 2: The Situational rule will be updated per the MR

2.4. Discussion about restricting MRs to either the Standard or TR3s but not both. Doug Anderson said that a history of MR changes is needed. Joel Prater would like the subcommittee to spend more time looking at MR details. The subcommittee agreed that the Chair should present the following requests to Steering:

- 2.4.1. Add a new MR status indicating that the MR had been applied to the Standard but not yet to the TR3.
- 2.4.2. Reintroduce interested subcommittee information on mr.x12.org.

Meeting recessed at 3 p.m. MST

3. Monday, January 30

- 3.1. Constituent Review
- 3.2. Review Report Back & Ballot Recommendations

MR	Title	Affected	X12C	X12F	X12I	X12J	X12M	X12N	TAS Action
290	X12.5: Clarify element and package	Standard	Approved						Recommended for ballot to PRB

MR	Title	Affected	X12C	X12F	X12I	X12J	X12M	X12N	TAS Action
	level encoding								
324	DE 1403: Change Max Length to 2	Standard				Approved			Recommended for ballot to PRB

3.3. Benefit statement approved for MR 324 “The expanded length of the data element will support future business needs.”

A question was raised about the purpose of benefit statements for MRs. ASC02 Section 5.4 explains that “Once the developing subcommittee completes instructions for the proposed revisions as described in the sub-sections below and finalizes the impact assessment, the project delegate composes a brief, high-level, “in English” summary of the benefit to be achieved via implementation of the revisions identified to satisfy the maintenance request and enters it in the maintenance request system. This brief statement should not exceed three sentences and will be the basis for X12 informational and educational materials and change summaries.”

3.4. Reviewed the requests to Steering concerning changes to the MR process and the X12 ASC Chair agreed that he’d take these to the Executive Director to have them done.

Meeting adjourned at 11:15 a.m. MST