ASC X12C COMMUNICATIONS AND CONTROLS SUBCOMMITTEE

Interim Meeting Minutes

NOVEMBER 14, 12 Noon – 1 pm EDT

Attendance—11 people, 8 members

1. Thursday, November 14

- 1.1. Administration
 - 1.1.1. The October 10 Interim Meeting minutes are posted in the X12C iMeet Workspace and will be approved as of 11/29, barring suggested corrections.
- 1.2. Pending Items
 - 1.2.1. Change Request 1933 "X12 Version/Release Number in ISA12 Does Not Have to Match Value in GS08" This CR concerns 007060 TR3s and will be addressed when those are being developed.
- 1.3. RFI Database
 - 1.3.1. RFI 2322
 - 1.3.1.1. Approved X12N's response and recommendation with one change: "5010" should be changed to "005010."
 - 1.3.1.2. This RFI will be forwarded to X12I next and then X12M.
 - 1.3.1.3. X12 has to develop a way to correct or remove RFIs that are wrong, as #2329 is in this case. The Policies & Procedures Committee is working on this need and will have it included in the next version of the ASC02/SD2 policy document.
 - 1.3.2. RFI 2366: X12C has written a response, and it is pending in X12N.
 - 1.3.3. RFI 2394: X12N is the developing subcommittee and X12C is interested.
 - 1.3.4. RFI 2396
 - 1.3.4.1. Reviewed and edited the Chair's draft response.
 - 1.3.4.2. Approved the following response:
 - 1.3.4.2.1. Using error code 3 in the IK5 segment is correct. Supplementing error reporting in IK5 by sending IK3/IK4 for exact element location is valid per the standard and the TR3, but not necessary. In the second example, IK4 is not correct, code value 7 in data element 621 meaning

"Invalid Code Value" is a misuse of the element. Data element 329 does not contain code values. The two IK5 segments are not correct, only one IK5 can be sent. As for the content of the two IK5 segments, code value 5 in data element 618 meaning "One or More Segments in Error" is not a violation of the standard, but not necessary because code value 3 meaning "Transaction Set Control Number in Header and Trailer Do Not Match" is precisely the error.

1.3.4.3. No other subcommittee is interested, so this RFI is complete. Staff will send the response to the submitter.

1.3.5. RFI 2400

- 1.3.5.1. Reviewed and edited the Chair's draft response.
- 1.3.5.2. Approved the following response:
 - 1.3.5.2.1. A 997/999 can respond to this error condition. AK2 is optional and is not correct for a response to any of the three error examples. Code value 32 in data element 716 meaning "Control Segments for One or More Included Transaction Sets were Syntactically Invalid" was added to the standard at version 007010. That value can be used for all three errors in the request.
- 1.3.5.3. This RFI will be forwarded to X12I next and then X12M.

1.4. Active Items

1.4.1. The All Nines Proposal

- 1.4.1.1. Reviewed and edited the Chair's draft paper to recommend the following approaches for reporting incorrect data:
 - 1.4.1.1.1 If AK101, 02, or 03 are incorrect, reject the interchange with a TA1 with code value 024 meaning "Invalid Interchange Content (e.g., Invalid GS Segment)

 - 1.4.1.1.3. Use "hard-coded" text in CTX01-02 when the business unit identifier is invalid.
- 1.4.1.2. Pete Anderson will use this information for the change request he is working on.

Meeting adjourned at 12:45 PM EDT