

# ASC X12C COMMUNICATIONS AND CONTROLS SUBCOMMITTEE

## Meeting Minutes

**JULY 11, 2019, 12 Noon — 1 PM EST**

**ATTENDANCE—8 people, 8 members**

Staff: Skip Sailors

### 1. Thursday, July 11, 12 Noon — 1 PM EST

#### 1.1. Administrative Issues

1.1.1. The Summer Standing Meeting minutes and the June 13<sup>th</sup> minutes will be considered approved on July 17<sup>th</sup> if there are no objections.

1.1.2. The [X12C Deferred MR Report](#) has been revised based on the Summer Standing meeting.

1.1.3. Max-Gerd Retzlaff is back on the subcommittee since he is now an X12 transitional member.

#### 1.2. Pending Actions of Other Groups

1.2.1. Stacey Barber will look into whether X12N has approved the RFI [2322](#) and RFI [2329](#) drafts.

#### 1.3. Active Items

1.3.1. [MR 018117](#) Creates a Clarification Paper (TR4) entitled “The ISX in Operation”

1.3.1.1. Motion to make the following minor changes to the “ISX in Operation” TR4 was approved without objection:

1.3.1.1.1. Add segment terminators to the examples

1.3.1.1.2. Add the word “should” to Guideline 1.

1.3.1.1.3. Remove “in general” from the I72 definition.

1.3.1.1.4. Add these sentences explaining how ISX04 is used: “When an ISX04 value is received in an interchange, all code value validation for code values defined by the X12 Standard will be made against the implementation guide conveyed by this element. ISX04 constrains the full set of codes from the X12 standard specified in ISX03.”

1.3.1.2. Motion to make the following changes to the ISX segment was approved without objection:

- 1.3.1.2.1. Add the word “base” to the ISX Semantic Note 03 which begins, “When an ISX03 value is received in an interchange, all code value validation for code values defined by the X12 **base** Standard will be made against the X12 version conveyed by this element.”
- 1.3.1.2.2. Change the definition of I72 to remove the phrase “in general.”
- 1.3.1.2.3. Add these sentences as Semantic Note 04 to the ISX segment: “When an ISX04 value is received in an interchange, all code value validation for code values defined by the X12 Standard will be made against the implementation guide conveyed by this element. ISX04 constrains the full set of codes from the X12 standard specified in ISX03.”
- 1.3.1.3. The subcommittee’s rep to X12J will tell the other subcommittees that these are not substantive changes.
- 1.3.2. [MR 008318](#) Modify the LS and LE segments Using a New Composite
  - 1.3.2.1. The Chair has modified the solution to keep data element 447 in the first position in the LE and LS segments.
  - 1.3.2.2. It was agreed that the new composite C072 should have a third component for the legacy ID.
  - 1.3.2.3. The Chair will update the MR’s database output. The goal is to have this MR to ballot after the Fall Standing Meeting and published in version 008010.
- 1.3.3. [RFI 2323](#) “Is ‘0’ (number zero) valid for LX01 in Loop 2000?”
  - 1.3.3.1. Approved without objection a correction noted in red to X12N’s response: “Nothing in the **005010X221** TR3 indicates that 0 would be an invalid value; therefore, it must be accepted.”
- 1.3.4. [RFI 2366](#) “Incoming data has a problem with date range”
  - 1.3.4.1. Approved without objection the following response: “The CTX cannot be sent because the situational rule for the CTX segment reads: Required when the error identified in this IK4 loop was triggered by a situational requirement of the implementation guide and the error occurs at the element level. If not required by this implementation guide, do not send. Therefore, the CTX cannot be used.”
  - 1.3.4.2. The RFI screener will pass on this response to X12N for its review.

Meeting adjourned at 1 PM EST