

X12C COMMUNICATIONS AND CONTROLS SUBCOMMITTEE

Standing Meeting Minutes

FEBRUARY 3, 11 a.m.-1:50 p.m. EST

FEBRUARY 5, 11 a.m.-1:50 p.m. EST

Attendance—6 people, 5 constituents

Attendance—14 people, 6 constituents

1. Monday, February 3

1.1. Administration

1.2. Active

- 1.2.1. RFI 2774 “Valid format for payer contact URL.” X12N asked the subcommittee to review their drafted response and recommendation. The subcommittee returned the following edits to X12N:

RFI Response:

While there is nothing explicitly identifying the format of the URL, for a public facing URL to have any value, it needs to be as clear and consistent with other URLs that are supported by the owner of the domain. **Both examples work if the delimiters defined in the ISA are not used. The second example will work even if the percent sign is a delimiter because the percent sign has been omitted from the value.** Additionally, best practice is to identify the hostname and path, not the protocol (~~http:// or https://~~) to remove the possibility of conflicts with the content defined in the ISA-IEA payload.

RFI Recommendation:

It is recommended that the URL used does not contain any values that ~~then could cause problems if the values in the URL~~ conflict with the delimiters identified in the ISA. **Your choice of delimiters should not conflict with values in URLs, for example the colon or the percent sign.** Values should be ~~used~~ **chosen to avoid characters used in URLs.** ~~the transaction by way of the ISA and ensure the URLs does not include those values.~~

- 1.2.2. The subcommittee will hear from the JSON Proof of Concept during the Wednesday meeting.
- 1.2.3. Agenda additions for Wednesday: RFI 2026 “Delimiter in non-comp field” & RFI 2293 “CTX “Business Unit ID” in 999 | X12,” both about the 999 and which conflict.

Meeting recessed at 12 noon EST

2. Wednesday, February 5

2.1. Active

- 2.1.1. RFI 2774 “Valid format for payer contact URL.” After an extended discussion, it was decided that the X12N RFI work group will add a comment to modify the RFI response.
- 2.1.2. RFI 2026 “Delimiter in non-comp field” & RFI 2293 “CTX "Business Unit ID" in 999 | X12”
 - 2.1.2.1. A new RFI pointed out that RFIs 2026 and 2293 were in conflict. Staff told the X12N RFI work group that RFI 2293 had been removed from the website and that once their review finished, RFI 2293 could be permanently removed. There was concern that the proper process had not been followed.
 - 2.1.2.2. [After the subcommittee meeting session, staff researched the issue and reported that during its June 2024 Standing Meeting, the PRB discussed the error in RFI # 2293 as it contradicts another RFI and the TR3. Motion to remove the RFI from the website and return it to X12N for revision passed unanimously.]
- 2.1.3. The ASC Chair gave an update on the JSON Proof of Concept and sought feedback on the approach of making subsequent HL loops children of the previous HL loop in JSON schemas in order to make the schemas easier to work with. There could be a crosswalk mapping back to the X12 syntax. The subcommittee supported the tiered-HL approach. The next step will be to create the first JSON schema.

Meeting adjourned at 12:30 p.m. EST